

Application No.		Applicant(s)
10/643,279		SCHUSTER, MICHAEL D.
Examiner	Art Unit	
AARON W. CARTER	2624	

All Participants:

(1) AARON W. CARTER.

(2) Daniel Burns (Reg. No. 50,222).

Date of Interview: 22 May 2008

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No
If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:
potential 35 USC 101 rejection

Claims discussed:
21

Prior art documents discussed:
n/a

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Applicants agreed to delete the phrase "or in a propagated signal" from the specification, page 17, line 7, resolving any potential 35 USC 101 rejections that might have otherwise been made against claim 21.

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.
(4) _____

Time: _____

/Aaron W Carter/
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2624

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)